



Class 3N Landfill Run-On and Run-Off Control System Plan

**Independence Steam Electric Station
Newark, Independence County Arkansas**

October 2016
Revised April 2026

Prepared For:

Entergy Arkansas, LLC
Independence Plant
555 Point Ferry Road
Newark, AR 72562

Prepared By:

TRC Environmental Corporation
700 Highlander Boulevard
Suite 210
Arlington, TX 72562

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Xie Wenbo".

Xie Wenbo, P.E.
Senior Project Engineer

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Emma Gaines".

Emma Gaines, P.G.
Project Manager

TABLE OF CONTENTS

REVISION HISTORY	II
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Documents Reviewed	1
2.0 FEDERAL REGULATIONS.....	2
3.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS	3
4.0 RUN-ON CONTROL	4
5.0 RUN-OFF CONTROL.....	5
6.0 CONCLUSION	6
6.1 Notifications, Amendments, and Periodic Revisions	6
7.0 CERTIFICATION.....	7
8.0 REFERENCES.....	8

FIGURES

Table 1: Updated Run-off Hydrologic Analysis Results for Basin 1

FIGURES

Figure 1: Site Location Map

Figure 2: Site Detail

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Initial Stormwater Run-on and Run-Off Control System Plan



Revision History

Revision Number	Revision Date	Section Revised	Summary of Revisions
0	10/12/2016		Initial issue, by others.
1	10/12/2021	1 through 4	Updated text and figures for five-year periodic revision.
2	04/23/2026	1 through 5	Updated text and figures for five-year periodic revision.



1.0 Introduction

Entergy Arkansas, LLC (Entergy) operates the Independence Steam Electric Station (Plant). The purpose of this Run-on and Run-off Control System Plan (Plan) is to present the stormwater control features of the Class 3N coal combustion residuals (CCR) landfill (Landfill), as required by the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (USEPA) final CCR rule Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR) Part 257 Subpart D - "Standards for the Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals in Landfills and Surface Impoundments." The requirements for Run-on and Run-Off Control System Plans for landfills are presented in 40 CFR § 257.81. The initial Run-On and Run-Off Control System Plan (Plan) was developed in October 2016 (FTN Associates, Ltd.) and placed in the Plant's operating record. Periodic revisions to the Run-On and Run-Off Control System Plan are required every 5 years pursuant to 40 CFR § 257.81(c)(4). The first revision of the Plan was prepared by TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) in October 2021 and placed in the Plant's operating record.

This Plan is revised based on the review of the initial Plan, the first revision of the Plan (2021), the 2024 annual engineering inspection report, and the construction quality assurance (CQA) report for Cell 16.

1.1 Documents Reviewed

To develop this plan the following documents were reviewed by TRC:

- Initial Stormwater Run-On and Run-Off Control System Plan (FTN Associates, Ltd., 2016b)
- First Revision of Stormwater Run-On and Run-off Control System Plan (TRC, 2021)
- The 2024 Annual Engineering Inspection Report for the Independence Plant Class 3N Landfill (Promus Engineering, 2025a)
- Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Report for Entergy Independence Class 3N Landfill Cell 16 (Promus Engineering, 2025b)



2.0 Federal Regulations

Pursuant to 40 CFR 257.81, landfills that manage CCR are subject to the following requirements:

- (a) The owner or operator of an existing or new CCR landfill or any lateral expansion of a CCR landfill must design, construct, operate, and maintain:
 - 1. A run-on control system to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm; and
 - 2. A run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.
- (b) Run-off from the active portion of the CCR unit must be handled in accordance with the surface water requirements under 40 CFR 257.3-3.
- (c) Run-on and run-off control system plan
 - 1. *Content of the Plan.* The owner or operator must prepare initial and periodic run-on and run-off control system plans for the CCR unit every five years. These plans must document how the run-on and run-off control systems have been designed and constructed to meet the applicable requirements of this section. Each plan must be supported by appropriate engineering calculations.



3.0 Existing Conditions

The Plant is located at 555 Point Ferry Rd, Newark, Independence County, Arkansas (Figure 1). The Landfill is located on the western side of the Plant. The Landfill footprint is approximately 130 acres with an open active area of approximately 66.6 acres (Figure 2).

The Landfill operates under a state facility permit (0200-S3N-R2) as a Class 3N industrial landfill issued by the Arkansas Department of Energy and Environment, Division of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The permitted Landfill footprint, considering future lateral expansions, is 335 acres. Cells 1 through 11 were constructed and waste disposal ceased prior to the effective date of the CCR Rule. These waste disposal cells are considered to be inactive. The active waste disposal area consists of Cells 12 through 16. Cells 12 through 15 were active under the initial 2016 Plan, while Cell 16, an approximately 22-acre solid waste disposal cell bordered by Cell 15 to the north and Cell 12 to the west, was constructed between April and November 2025, as documented in the Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Report prepared by Promus Engineering in December 2025. DEQ approved active waste placement in Cell 16 through a letter issued on January 30, 2026.

Leachate collected in the landfill is pumped to the Surge Pond, located south of the Landfill. Stormwater collected in the perimeter ditches flows by gravity to the Surge Pond. The water from the Surge Pond can be used for cooling water at the Plant or discharged through Plant's outfall permitted under and subject to the discharge limitations of the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES, permit number AR0037451).

The Landfill has not had any significant modifications on berms, channels, culverts, or other stormwater control features affecting run-on and run-off management for the existing landfill area since the last revision in October 2021. The stormwater management system was designed for a 24-hour, 25-year storm per the requirements of 40 CFR 257.81. According to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's National Weather Service, the design rainfall events for the Landfill have remained consistent from 2016 to 2026.



4.0 Run-on Control

CCR landfills are required to have a run-on control system designed, constructed and operated to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

The run-on control system for the Landfill consists of perimeter berms and perimeter ditches. The perimeter ditches discharge into the Surge Pond. The ditches are vegetated to protect against erosion. Culverts are provided where access roads cross the perimeter ditch. At the perimeter of the active filling areas, perimeter berms are provided for additional run-on control.

In addition to the Landfill controls, a berm was constructed surrounding the Plant that was constructed to the 100-year flood elevation plus 1-foot. This berm prevents run-on from entering the Plant and provides additional protection to the Landfill.

TRC reviewed the stormwater calculations presented in the initial Plan performed by others (FTN Associated, Ltd., 2016b, Appendix A). This review determined that the stormwater calculation approach and inputs were consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices and represented current conditions at the Landfill.

Based on the existing drainage features and perimeter ditches, run-on controls are sufficient to manage the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

5.0 Run-off Control

CCR landfills are required to have a run-off control system designed, constructed and operated to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

Cells 1 through 11 have a soil cover installed. Stormwater run-off from these areas is collected in the perimeter ditches and conveyed to the Surge Pond.

In the active area (Cells 12 through 16), stormwater is allowed to infiltrate into the waste in Cells 12, 13, 14, and 15. Cell 15 includes a collection sump that pumps leachate from the active area to the Surge Pond. Cell 16 was constructed with a leachate collection system designed to control rainfall and prevent uncontrolled runoff. The system consists of a network of collection pipes that convey leachate to a sump located at the east end of the cell. From the sump, leachate is pumped to the Surge Pond via a dual-contained HDPE force main, ensuring secure conveyance and preventing surface discharge.

The perimeter ditches and the downstream receiving Surge Pond exceed the capacity requirements to collect and control the run-off volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

TRC reviewed the stormwater calculations presented in the initial Plan performed by others (FTN Associated, Ltd., 2016b, Appendix A). This review determined that the stormwater calculation approach and inputs were consistent with recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices. The stormwater channel and culvert hydraulic analysis results represented the current conditions at the landfill. An updated Run-off hydrologic analysis was conducted for Basin 1 due to the installation of Cell 16.

5.1 Amendment: Cell 16 and Basin 1 Hydrologic Analysis Update

Basin 1 area has been modified with the construction of Cell 16, a 22-acre lined disposal cell with its own berm and internal sump system. Rainfall on Cell 16 is collected and routed directly to the Surge Pond and does not contribute to overland flow in Basin 1. Consequently, hydrologic calculations for Basin 1 have been updated to reflect the effective drainage area of the remaining 130.7 acres. The construction of Cell 16 does not impact the stormwater hydraulic analysis results for existing channels and culverts in the initial Plan (Appendix A).

Hydrologic analysis was performed using the Rational Method as detailed in Appendix A. The updated stormwater run-off results for Basin 1 are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Updated Run-off Hydrologic Analysis Results for Basin 1

Basin 1	Area, A (acres)	Composite Runoff Coefficient, C	Rainfall Intensity, I_{25} (in/hr)	Peak Discharge, Q_{25} (ft ³ /sec)	Flow Destination
2016	152.7	0.20	4.30	131.4	Channels
2026	130.7	0.20	4.30	112.4	Channels



6.0 Conclusion

The constructed Landfill adequately manages run-on and run-off in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 257.81. Run-off is collected within the Landfill footprint or discharged to the Surge Pond and treated prior to discharge through an NPDES permitted outfall satisfying the requirements of 40 CFR 257.3-3.

6.1 Notifications, Amendments, and Periodic Revisions

This Plan has been completed in compliance with the requirements set forth in 40 CFR 257.81. This document will be placed in the operating record, posted to the publicly accessible website, and government notifications will be provided.

A Run-On and Run-Off Control System Plan must be revised every 5 years. The next periodic revision is required by April 2031.

The Plan must be amended whenever the periodic review period is reached or if changes in site conditions, either intentionally or unintentionally, occur that will substantially impact the current written plan in effect.

7.0 Certification

I, the undersigned Arkansas Professional Engineer, hereby certify that I am familiar with the technical requirements of 40 CFR 257 Subpart D. I also certify that it is my professional opinion that, to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, that the information in this demonstration is in accordance with current recognized and generally accepted good engineering practice(s) and standard(s) and meets the requirements of 40 CFR 257.81.

For the purpose of this document, “certify” and “certification” shall be interpreted and construed to be a “statement of professional opinion.” The certification is understood and intended to be an expression of my professional opinion as a Licensed Professional Engineer, based upon knowledge, information, and belief. The statement(s) of professional opinion are not and shall not be interpreted or construed to be a guarantee or a warranty of the analysis herein.



Wenbo Xie, P.E.
Name



Signature of Professional Engineer

24052
Engineer License Number

04/23/2026
Date



8.0 References


FTN Associates, Ltd., 2016a. Landfill Closure Plan: Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Independence Plant, Class 3N CCR Landfill. October 2016.

FTN Associates, Ltd., 2016b. Stormwater Run-on and Run-off Control Plan: Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Independence Plant, Class 3N CCR Landfill. October 2016.

TRC, 2018. Recycle Ponds - Initial Inflow Flood Control System Plan: Independence Steam Electric Station, Newark, Independence County, Arkansas. October 2018.

Promus Engineering, 2025a. The 2024 Annual Engineering Inspection Report for the Independence Plant Class 3N Landfill. June 2025.

Promus Engineering, 2025b. Construction Quality Assurance (CQA) Report for Entergy Independence Class 3N Landfill Cell 16. December 2025.



BASE MAP FROM USGS 7.5 MINUTE TOPOGRAPHIC QUADRANGLE SERIES.



1" = 2,000'
1:24,000

0 2,000 4,000
FEET



700 HIGHLANDER BLVD, SUITE 210
ARLINGTON, TX 76015
817.522.1000

TRC - GIS

PROJECT:

**ENTERGY INDEPENDENCE STEAM ELECTRIC STATION
CLASS 3 LANDFILL
NEWARK, INDEPENDENCE COUNTY, ARKANSAS**

TITLE:

**RUN-ON AND RUN-OFF
CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN
SITE LOCATION MAP**

DRAWN BY:

D. STITCHER

CHECKED BY:

W. XIE

APPROVED BY:

E. GAINES

DATE:

MARCH 2026

PROJ. NO.:

717445

FILE:

ISES_Figure_1_Location.mxd

FIGURE 1



CLASS 3 LANDFILL BOUNDARY

NOTES

1. BASE MAP IMAGERY FROM ESRI/DIGITAL GLOBE, 2023.

N

0

600

1,200

Feet

1" = 600'

1:7,200

PROJECT: ENTERGY INDEPENDENCE PLANT 555 POINT FERRY ROAD NEWARK, ARKANSAS	
TITLE: RUN-ON AND RUN-OFF CONTROL SYSTEM PLAN SITE DETAIL	
DRAWN BY: D. STITCHER	PROJ. NO.: 717445
CHECKED BY: W. XIE	FIGURE 2
APPROVED BY: E. GAINES	
DATE: MARCH 2026	
700 HIGHLANDER BLVD, SUITE 210 ARLINGTON, TX 76015 817.522.1000	
FILE NO:	Entergy_ISES_Fig_2_Landfill_Cells_20260319.mxd



Appendix A: Initial Stormwater Run-on and Run-Off Control System Plan

**STORMWATER RUN-ON
AND
RUN-OFF CONTROL PLAN**

**ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
INDEPENDENCE PLANT
CLASS 3N CCR LANDFILL**

**PERMIT NO. 0200-S3N-R2
AFIN: 32-00042**

OCTOBER 12, 2016

STORMWATER RUN-ON AND RUN-OFF CONTROL PLAN

ENTERGY ARKANSAS, INC.
INDEPENDENCE PLANT
CLASS 3N CCR LANDFILL

PERMIT NO. 0200-S3N-R2
AFIN: 32-00042

Prepared for

Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Independence Plant
555 Point Ferry Rd.
Newark, AR 72562

Prepared by

FTN Associates, Ltd.
124 W Sunbridge Suite 3
Fayetteville, AR 72703

FTN Project No. R06040-0992-001

October 12, 2016

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER'S CERTIFICATION

In accordance with §257.81 I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this demonstration and all attached documents, and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the submitted information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.

This Stormwater Run-on and Run-off Control Plan for the Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Independence Plant Class 3N CCR Landfill was prepared under the direction and supervision of a qualified, State of Arkansas-registered Professional Engineer. Mr. Nick Schoggin, PE, of FTN Associates, Ltd., was responsible for the overall preparation of the plan.



Nick Schoggin, PE #14268

10-12-16
Date

[illegible]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER’S CERTIFICATION	i
PLAN AMENDMENTS	ii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 Purpose of Plan	1-1
1.2 Independence Power Plant Information.....	1-1
1.3 Permit History	1-2
1.4 Existing Conditions of Landfill	1-3
2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS.....	2-1
3.0 METHODOLOGY	3-1
3.1 Prevention of Stormwater Run-on	3-2
3.2 Stormwater Run-off	3-3
4.0 RESULTS 4-1	
4.1 Stormwater Run-off Results	4-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A:	Definitions
APPENDIX B:	Figures
APPENDIX C:	Run-off Hydrologic and Hydraulic Calculations

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Run-off hydrologic analysis results	4-1
Table 4.2	Stormwater channel hydraulic analysis results	4-2
Table 4.3	Stormwater culvert hydraulic analysis results	4-2

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose of Plan

In accordance with 40 CFR §257, *Subpart D - Disposal of Coal Combustion Residuals From Electric Utilities* (the CCR Rule), the purpose of this plan is to provide information that demonstrates that the stormwater run-on and run-off control system for the Entergy Arkansas, Inc. Independence Plant (the Plant) Class 3N CCR Landfill (the Landfill) will collect and convey a 24-hour, 25-year storm event. From §257.81(a):

The owner or operator of an existing or new CCR landfill or any lateral expansion of a CCR landfill must design, construct, operate, and maintain:

(1) A run-on control system to prevent flow onto the active portion of the CCR unit during the peak discharge from a 24-hour, 25-year storm; and

(2) A run-off control system from the active portion of the CCR unit to collect and control at least the water volume resulting from a 24-hour, 25-year storm.

This Stormwater Run-on and Run-off Control Plan (the Plan) includes:

1. A discussion of how the stormwater run-on and run-off control system has been designed and constructed (Section 2.0 Existing Conditions); and
2. Demonstration of how these controls prevent stormwater run-on and run-off at the Landfill (3.0 Methodology).

Appendix A includes definitions for terms included in this Plan.

1.2 Independence Power Plant Information

The Plant is located on approximately 1,850 acres about 2-½ miles southeast of Newark in Independence County, Arkansas as shown on Figure 1 (all figures are located in Appendix B, unless otherwise noted). The site is characterized by minimal topographic relief and is situated within the White River floodplain.

The Plant has been in operation since 1983 and has historically generated electricity through the combustion of Powder River Basin (PRB) (Wyoming) sub-bituminous coal. Coal

combustion by-products (residues) (CCRs) that are generated during the electrical generation process are disposed in the onsite landfill. The CCR is generally segregated into two categories, “fly” and “bottom.”

Approximately 80% of the ash produced is classified as fly ash that is derived from the boiler exhaust gas and collected in electrostatic precipitators. The fly ash is composed of very fine particles similar to glass and has the consistency of a powder. Collected fly ash is blown to silos for short-term storage. A subcategory of the fly ash is known as economizer ash. This material is the coarsest fraction of the fly ash that drops out before the electrostatic precipitators, and represents approximately 2% of the total ash production. The remaining 18% of coal ash produced from the coal combustion is comprised of bottom ash. It is composed of angular, glassy particles with a porous surface texture and has the consistency of coarse sand. The bottom ash is sluiced principally to dewatering hoppers for removal of water and for storage.

Historically, approximately 60 to 70% of the two types of ash have been marketed regionally to construction-related industries. The remaining amount of ash has been placed in the onsite Landfill for disposal. The amount placed in the CCR Landfill varies from year to year, but the average for the past five years is approximately 125,000 cubic yards (cy).

1.3 Permit History

In October 1982, Arkansas Power & Light Company (AP&L) was granted a solid waste permit (#200-S) from the Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ) to construct and operate a solid waste disposal facility at the Plant. Entergy Arkansas, Inc. became AP&L’s successor in interest in April 1996. The permit was modified (0200-S3N-R1) in 2002 to update the landfill to comply with Arkansas Pollution Control and Ecology Commission (APCEC) Regulation No. 22 (Solid Waste Management Code) design and operational standards for Class 4 (inert waste) Landfills. The current facility permit (0200-S3N-R2) was issued in December 2014 and includes design and operational modifications to the landfill facility to comply with Regulation No. 22 requirements for Class 3N (Industrial) Landfills.

1.4 Existing Conditions of Landfill

The ADEQ-permitted landfill area consists of approximately 335 acres and is located in the northeastern portion of the plant site as shown on Figure 2. The CCR Landfill is designed to be developed through three phases, which only Phases 1 and 2 are currently permitted for development. The current ADEQ-permitted layout of the CCR Landfill includes a total of 22 disposal cells and has a permitted waste capacity of approximately 13,000,000 cubic yards (cy). Waste Cells 1 through 15 have been constructed, and Waste Cells 12, 13, 14, and 15 currently comprise the active disposal area of the CCR Landfill having received CCR materials after October 19, 2015 (Figure 3). The permitted waste disposal capacity for Cells 12 through 15 is approximately 4,703,000 cy, which includes CCR placed in the landfill prior to October 19, 2015.

Construction of the disposal cells has followed the numerical sequence of the cell numbers and have generally been designed, constructed, operated and maintained in compliance with the requirements of APCEC Regulation 22. Cells 1 through 11 were constructed, operated and closed prior to the effective date of the CCR Rule and are not covered by the requirements of the Rule. Cells 12 through 15 are the existing landfill CCR units and will be operated and closed in accordance with requirements of the CCR Rule.

No final cover system has been installed on the active CCR units, Cells 12 through 15. As shown on Figure 3, Cells 1 through 11 of the landfill facility that received CCR material prior to the issuance of the CCR Rule were closed and covered in accordance with the original facility ADEQ-issued permit (Cells 1 through 9) or the ADEQ-issued 2002 permit (Cells 10 and 11). These areas did not receive CCR after October 2015.

2.0 EXISTING STORMWATER CONTROL SYSTEM

The existing stormwater control system for the facility has been developed to collect and convey stormwater around and away from the site to prevent run-on. The Landfill's perimeter ditches generally drain to the southwest to discharge into the facility Surge Pond, located south of the landfill. The water from the Surge Pond is either used for cooling water at the Plant, or is eventually released from the site through the facility's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitted outfall (Permit Number AR0037451). An overview of the existing stormwater system is shown on Figure 3 in Appendix B.

The stormwater system is composed of berms, grass-lined channels, and culverts at roadway crossings. Typical details are included as Figures 4 and 5 in Appendix B. These system components were designed and constructed to convey stormwater and to minimize erosion. Clay-lined perimeter berms and compacted clay expansion berms (Figure 5, Appendix B) at the external edges of each landfill cell also prevent stormwater from entering the cells and becoming run-on.

As defined by the CCR Rule, stormwater run-off includes any stormwater that falls upon and is discharged from active areas of the landfill. In the case of covered slopes, the stormwater does not come in contact with CCR and can be directly discharged to adjacent stormwater channels. In the case of open landfill areas, the stormwater is either stored within the waste mass or is collected as leachate and discharged as allowed by the facility landfill permit.

For Cells 12, 13, and 14, the leachate is stored within the waste mass. For Cell 15, the leachate flows to a collection sump located in the east end of the Cell and is pumped to the Surge Pond.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

Hydrologic and Hydraulic analyses were completed for the run-on and run-off stormwater system based on the 24-hour, 25-year storm event. For the Hydrologic analysis, flows were calculated using the Rational Method, which is given by the following formula:

$$Q = CIA$$

Where:

- Q = Flow in cubic feet per second (cfs)
- C = Runoff coefficient (dimensionless)
- I = Rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in/hr)
- A = Drainage area in acres (ac)

The coefficient, C, values were based on the slope and the surface conditions of the Landfill. The drainage area, A, was delineated for each basin. Data from the NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2 was used to develop a formula for calculating the rainfall intensity, I. This formula was created by plotting the site's precipitation frequency estimates for the 25 year storm event against the duration. Microsoft Excel was utilized to add a power trendline to the plotted data. The resulting equation of the trendline was used to calculate the intensity and is given by the following equation:

$$I = 20.667 \times T_c^{-0.505}$$

Where:

- I = Rainfall intensity in inches per hour (in/hr)
- T_c = Time of Concentration (minutes)

The Time of Concentration, T_c, is time for the most hydraulically distant particle of water to travel to the discharge point of each respective drainage area and is calculated using the methodology described in the USDA Technical Release 55 (TR-55), *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*. The TR-55 method computes T_c assuming that water moves through a drainage area as sheet flow, shallow concentrated flow, open channel flow, or some combination thereof. The input variables used in the T_c calculations include flow length, slope, 2-year 24 hour rainfall depth, and surface roughness of the flow path. The flow length and slope were measured in

AutoCAD. The 2-year 24 hour rainfall was taken from the NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 9, Version 2. The open channel dimensions used in the T_c calculations were based on the landfill construction drawings and recent survey data. The Manning's "n" values used to represent roughness in the T_c calculations were based on site reconnaissance and engineering judgment.

For the hydraulic analysis, Manning's formula, the most widely used open channel uniform flow equation, was used to compute the water surface elevation and to evaluate the capacity of the stormwater ditches:

$$V = \frac{1}{n} R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

where

- V = Mean velocity (ft/sec)
- n = Manning's coefficient
- R = Hydraulic radius (ft)
- S = Friction slope (ft/ft)

The capacity of each individual channel reach was computed using Bentley FlowMaster v8i software.

Permanent culvert capacities were evaluated based upon the methodologies set forth in *Hydraulic Design Series No. 5, Hydraulic Design of Highway Culverts (1985)* as prepared by the U.S. Federal Highway Administration. The culverts were analyzed using both inlet and outlet control assumptions to determine which would generate the greater headwater depth. The capacity of each culvert was computed using Bentley CulvertMaster v8i software.

3.1 Prevention of Stormwater Run-on

A perimeter berm and adjacent ditch encompasses the landfill and the Plant. The berm was built to the elevation of the 100-year storm event plus 1 foot of freeboard elevation. Therefore, stormwater from the 24-hour, 25-year event will not impact the landfill resulting in no stormwater run-on.

3.2 Stormwater Run-off

The area surrounding the Landfill was divided into three drainage basins for the stormwater run-off Hydrologic and Hydraulic analysis. Basin 1 comprises the eastern portion of the Landfill, Basin 2 comprises the western portion, and Basin 3 comprises the top portion of the closed Cells 1 through 11. Stormwater that does not infiltrate the waste material sheet flows into the stormwater ditch, which then discharges into the Surge Pond. The basins and their corresponding longest flow paths are shown on Figure 3 in Appendix B.

4.0 RESULTS

Hydrologic and Hydraulic calculation results for the run-off analysis are presented in Appendix C.

4.1 Stormwater Run-off Results

As shown in Appendix C, the existing stormwater system surrounding the Landfill will convey the 24-hour, 25-year storm event. As described in Section 3.2, the Landfill can be divided into three hydrologic basins. Results from the hydrologic analysis of these two basins for the 24-hour 25-year storm event are presented in Appendix C. Results are summarized in Table 4.1, below.

Table 4.1, Run-off hydrologic analysis results.

Basin	Area, A (acres)	Time of Concentration, T_c (minutes)	Composite Runoff Coefficient, C	Rainfall Intensity, I₂₅ (in/hr)	Peak Discharge, Q₂₅ (ft³/sec)
1	152.7	22.4	0.20	4.30	131.4
2	27.5	27.4	0.30	3.88	32.0
3	48.5	47.6	0.25	2.94	35.6

Results from the hydraulic analysis of the channel reaches using the calculated peak flow rates from Table 4.1 are presented in Appendix C. Results are summarized in Table 4.2, below.

Table 4.2, Stormwater channel hydraulic analysis results.

Channel Reach	Length, L (ft)	Slope, S (ft/ft)	Channel Depth, D (ft)	Channel Roughness Coefficient, n	Peak Flow, Q ₂₅ (ft ³ /sec)	Peak Velocity, V ₂₅ (ft/sec)	Flow Depth, D ₂₅ (ft)
1	6,312	0.005	6.0	0.027	131.4	4.9	1.9
2	5,332	0.005	6.0	0.027	32.0	3.2	0.9
3	723	0.005	6.0	0.027	35.6	3.3	0.9

Results from the hydraulic analysis of the culverts is presented in Appendix C. Results are summarized in Table 4.3, below.

Table 4.3, Stormwater culvert hydraulic analysis results.

Culvert	Length, L (ft)	Slope, S (ft/ft)	Number/ Diameter (in)	Type	Peak Flow, Q ₂₅ (ft ³ /sec)	Peak Velocity, V ₂₅ (ft/sec)	Headwater Depth, H (ft)
1	50	0.005	Triple 48"	CMP	131.4	7.06	3.6
2	70	0.005	Single 30"	CMP	32.0	7.9	4.9
3	40	0.005	Single 30"	CMP	35.6	8.4	4.8

The calculations confirm that the existing stormwater system will convey the peak flow rates from the 24-hour, 25-year storm.

APPENDIX A

Definitions

DEFINITIONS

The following definitions are from §257.53 of the CCR Rule and used in this Plan:

Active Life or In Operation: the period of operation beginning with the initial placement of CCR in the CCR unit and ending at completion of closure activities in accordance with §257.102.

Active portion: that part of the CCR unit that has received or is receiving CCR or non-CCR waste and that has not completed closure in accordance with §257.102.

Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR): fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag, and flue gas desulfurization materials generated from burning coal for the purpose of generating electricity by electric utilities and independent power producers.

CCR Landfill: an area of land or land excavation that receives CCR and which is not a surface impoundment, an underground injection well, a salt dome formation, a salt bed formation, an underground or surface coal mine, or a cave. It also includes sand and gravel pits and quarries that receive CCR, CCR piles, and any practice that does not meet the definition of a beneficial use of CCR.

CCR Unit: any CCR landfill, CCR surface impoundment, or lateral expansion of a CCR unit, or a combination of more than one of these units based on the context of the paragraph(s) in which it is used. This term includes both new and existing units, unless otherwise specified.

Closed Unit or Landfill: placement of CCR in a CCR unit has ceased, and the owner or operator has completed closure of the CCR unit in accordance with § 257.102 and has initiated post-closure care in accordance with § 257.104.

Existing CCR Landfill: a CCR Landfill that receives CCR both before and after October 15, 2015, or for which construction commenced prior to October 14, 2015 and receives CCR on or after October 14, 2015. A CCR landfill has commenced construction if the owner or operator has obtained the federal, state, and local approvals or permits necessary to begin physical construction and a continuous onsite physical construction program had begun prior to October 14, 2015.

Hydraulic Conductivity: the rate at which water can move through a permeable medium (i.e., the coefficient of permeability).

Lateral Expansion: a horizontal expansion of the waste boundaries of an existing CCR landfill or existing CCR surface impoundment made after October 14, 2015.

New CCR Landfill: a CCR landfill or lateral expansion of a CCR landfill that first receives CCR or commences construction after October 14, 2015. A CCR landfill has commenced construction if the owner or operator has obtained the federal, state, and local approvals or

permits necessary to begin physical construction and a continuous onsite physical construction program had begun after to October 14, 2015.

Operator: the person(s) responsible for the overall operation of a CCR unit.

Qualified Professional Engineer: an individual who is licensed by a state as a Professional Engineer to practice one or more disciplines of engineering and who is qualified by education, technical knowledge and experience to make the specific technical certifications required under this subpart. Professional engineers making these certifications must be currently licensed in the state where the CCR unit(s) is located.

Recognized and Generally Accepted Good Engineering Practices: engineering maintenance or operation activities based on established codes, widely accepted standards, published technical reports, or a practice widely recommended throughout the industry. Such practices generally detail approved ways to perform specific engineering, inspection, or mechanical integrity activities.

Run-Off: any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over land from any part of a CCR landfill or lateral expansion of a CCR landfill.

Run-On: any rainwater, leachate, or other liquid that drains over land onto any part of a CCR landfill or lateral expansion of a CCR landfill.

Structural Components: liners, leachate collection and removal systems, final covers, run-on and run-off systems, inflow design flood control systems, and any other component used in the construction and operation of the CCR unit that is necessary to ensure the integrity of the unit and that the contents of the unit are not released into the environment.

APPENDIX B

Figures






Figure 1. Site location map.



S:\projects\06040-0992-001\cad\dwg\06040-0992-001\FG03.DWG



NOTES:

1. LOCATIONS OF LANDFILL PERMIT BOUNDARY AND CELL BOUNDARIES ARE APPROXIMATE.

LEGEND

- > — > — EXISTING STREAM OR DITCH
- - - - - CELL BOUNDARY
- — — — — LANDFILL PERMIT BOUNDARY
- - - - - LONGEST FLOWPATH
- - - - - DRAINAGE BASIN
- > — CULVERT
- - - - - STORMWATER DIVERSION BERM

ENTERGY INDEPENDENCE PLANT
CLASS 3N CCR LANDFILL
STORMWATER RUN-ON/RUN-OFF CONTROL PLAN
NEWARK, ARKANSAS

FIGURE 3
RUN-OFF ANALYSIS
STORMWATER RUN-ON/RUN-OFF CONTROL PLAN

DRAWN BY: JWM	FILE NAME: FG03.DWG
APPROVED: JWM	PROJECT NO. 06040-0992-001
SCALE: 1" = 300'	DATE: 08/11/16
SHEET NO.	




Figure 4. Typical Stormwater Channel Detail.



Figure 5. Berm Details.

APPENDIX C

Run-off Hydrologic and Hydraulic Calculation

Rainfall Intensity Formula

Precipitation intensities for Jonesboro AR obtained from the NOAA Precipitation Frequency Data Server (PFDS)

<http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/>

Point precipitation frequency estimates (inches)

NOAA Atlas 14 Volume 9 Version 2

Data type: Precipitation depth

Time series type: Partial duration

Project area: Southeastern States

Latitude (decimal degrees): 35.6790°

Longitude (decimal degrees): -91.3908°


PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES

Duration 25 Year Event

5-min:	8.93 (in/hr)
10-min:	6.53 (in/hr)
15-min:	5.31 (in/hr)
30-min:	3.87 (in/hr)
60-min:	2.51 (in/hr)

Date/time (GMT): Thu Aug 11 23:54:40 2016

pyRunTime: 0.132289171219



T_c and Flow Calculations for Basin 1

INPUT

Flow Type	Length	Slope
Overland	100	0.330
Shallow	237	0.330
Channel	6312	0.005
Total Length	6649	

OVERLAND FLOW

(Sheet Flow)

$$T_c = \frac{.007 \cdot (n \cdot L)^{.8}}{(P_{2yr, 24hr})^{.5} \cdot s^{.4}} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

Minimum Assumed Slope = 0.0005 ft/ft
 Rainfall = 2yr, 24-hour 4.08 in

Segment	Length, ft	Manning's	Slope (ft/ft)	T _c (hr)
1	100	0.050	0.3300	0.020

SHALLOW FLOW

$$\text{Unpaved } V = 16.1345 \cdot S^{.5} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

$$t = L/3600V$$

$$\text{Paved } V = 20.3282 \cdot S^{.5}$$

Segment	Length, ft	Paved	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity	T _c
2	237	No	0.330	9.27	0.007

T_c in hr

CHANNEL FLOW

$$t = L/3600V$$

$$V = (1.49 \cdot r^{.486} / (3 \cdot s^{.5})) / n \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

Segment	Length, ft	Slope (ft/ft)	ManningsN	Side Slope	Bottom Width	Depth	Area	WP*	HydrRadius	Velocity	T _c (hr)
3	6312.00	0.005	0.027	2	10	2	28.000	18.944	1.48	5.06	0.346

hydraulic radius = area/wetted perimter

*Note: Assume channel is full

TOTAL TIME

$$T_c = T_{\text{SHEET}} + T_{\text{SHALLOW}} + T_{\text{CHANNEL}}$$

Segment	T _c (hr)	T _c (min)
1	0.020	1.17
2	0.007	0.43
3	0.346	20.78
CUMULATIVE T_c	0.373	22.4

FLOW CALCULATION

$$Q = CIA$$

C =	0.20
I (in/hr) =	4.30
A (ac) =	152.70
Therefore Q =	131.40 cfs

T_c and Flow Calculations for Basin 2

INPUT

Flow Type	Length	Slope
Overland	100	0.330
Shallow	247	0.330
Channel	5332	0.005
Total Length	5679	

OVERLAND FLOW

(Sheet Flow)

$$T_c = \frac{.007 \cdot (n \cdot L)^{.8}}{(P_{2yr, 24hr})^{.5} \cdot s^{.4}} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

Minimum Assumed Slope = 0.0005 ft/ft
 Rainfall = 2yr, 24-hour 4.08 in

Segment	Length, ft	Manning's	Slope (ft/ft)	T _c (hr)
1	100	0.050	0.3300	0.020

SHALLOW FLOW

$$\text{Unpaved } V = 16.1345 \cdot S^{.5} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

$$t = L/3600V$$

$$\text{Paved } V = 20.3282 \cdot S^{.5}$$

Segment	Length, ft	Paved	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity	T _c
2	247	No	0.330	9.27	0.007

T_c in hr

CHANNEL FLOW

$$t = L/3600V$$

$$V = (1.49 \cdot r^{.486} / (3 \cdot s^{.5})) / n \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

Segment	Length, ft	Slope (ft/ft)	ManningsN	Side Slope	Bottom Width	Depth	Area	WP*	HydrRadius	Velocity	T _c (hr)
3	5332.00	0.005	0.027	2	10	1	12.000	14.472	0.83	3.44	0.430

hydraulic radius = area/wetted perimter

*Note: Assume channel is full

TOTAL TIME

$$T_c = T_{\text{SHEET}} + T_{\text{SHALLOW}} + T_{\text{CHANNEL}}$$

Segment	T _c (hr)	T _c (min)
1	0.020	1.17
2	0.007	0.44
3	0.430	25.80
CUMULATIVE T_c	0.457	27.4

FLOW CALCULATION

$$Q = CIA$$

C =	0.30
I (in/hr) =	3.88
A (ac) =	27.51
Therefore Q =	32.05 cfs

T_c and Flow Calculations for Basin 3

INPUT

Flow Type	Length	Slope
Overland	100	0.005
Shallow	2573	0.005
Channel	126	0.330
Channel	723	0.005
Total Length	3522	

OVERLAND FLOW

(Sheet Flow)

$$T_c = \frac{.007 \cdot (n \cdot L)^{.8}}{(P_{2yr, 24hr})^{.5} \cdot s^{.4}} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

Minimum Assumed Slope = 0.0005 ft/ft
Rainfall = 2yr, 24-hour 4.08 in

Segment	Length, ft	Manning's	Slope (ft/ft)	T _c (hr)
1	100	0.050	0.0050	0.105

SHALLOW FLOW

$$\text{Unpaved } V = 16.1345 \cdot S^{.5} \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

$$t = L / 3600V$$

$$\text{Paved } V = 20.3282 \cdot S^{.5}$$

Segment	Length, ft	Paved	Slope (ft/ft)	Velocity	T _c
2	2573	No	0.005	1.14	0.626

T_c in hr

CHANNEL FLOW

$$t = L / 3600V \quad (\text{TR-55})$$

$$V = (1.49 \cdot r^{.487} / 3 \cdot s^{.5}) / n$$

Segment	Length, ft	Slope (ft/ft)	Manning's	Side Slope	Bottom Width	Depth	Area	WP*	HydrRadius	Velocity	T _c (hr)
3	126.00	0.330	0.075	2	10	1	12.000	14.472	0.83	10.07	0.003
4	723.00	0.005	0.027	2	10	1	12.000	14.472	0.83	3.44	0.058

hydraulic radius = area/wetted perimeter

*Note: Assume channel is full

TOTAL TIME

$$T_c = T_{\text{SHEET}} + T_{\text{SHALLOW}} + T_{\text{CHANNEL}}$$

Segment	T _c (hr)	T _c (min)
1	0.105	6.27
2	0.626	37.59
3	0.003	0.21
4	0.058	3.50
CUMULATIVE T_c	0.734	47.6

FLOW CALCULATION

$$Q = CIA$$

C =	0.25
I (in/hr) =	2.94
A (ac) =	48.47
Therefore Q =	35.62 cfs

Worksheet for Reach 1

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.027	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Left Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width	10.00	ft
Discharge	131.40	ft³/s

Results

Normal Depth	1.92	ft
Flow Area	26.60	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	18.59	ft
Hydraulic Radius	1.43	ft
Top Width	17.69	ft
Critical Depth	1.57	ft
Critical Slope	0.01042	ft/ft
Velocity	4.94	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.38	ft
Specific Energy	2.30	ft
Froude Number	0.71	
Flow Type	Subcritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	1.92	ft
Critical Depth	1.57	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.01042	ft/ft

Worksheet for Reach 2

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.027	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Left Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width	10.00	ft
Discharge	32.05	ft ³ /s

Results

Normal Depth	0.86	ft
Flow Area	10.14	ft ²
Wetted Perimeter	13.87	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.73	ft
Top Width	13.46	ft
Critical Depth	0.65	ft
Critical Slope	0.01311	ft/ft
Velocity	3.16	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.16	ft
Specific Energy	1.02	ft
Froude Number	0.64	
Flow Type	Subcritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	0.86	ft
Critical Depth	0.65	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.01311	ft/ft

Worksheet for Reach 3

Project Description

Friction Method	Manning Formula
Solve For	Normal Depth

Input Data

Roughness Coefficient	0.027	
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Left Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Right Side Slope	2.00	ft/ft (H:V)
Bottom Width	10.00	ft
Discharge	35.62	ft³/s

Results

Normal Depth	0.92	ft
Flow Area	10.88	ft²
Wetted Perimeter	14.11	ft
Hydraulic Radius	0.77	ft
Top Width	13.68	ft
Critical Depth	0.70	ft
Critical Slope	0.01287	ft/ft
Velocity	3.27	ft/s
Velocity Head	0.17	ft
Specific Energy	1.09	ft
Froude Number	0.65	
Flow Type	Subcritical	

GVF Input Data

Downstream Depth	0.00	ft
Length	0.00	ft
Number Of Steps	0	

GVF Output Data

Upstream Depth	0.00	ft
Profile Description		
Profile Headloss	0.00	ft
Downstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Upstream Velocity	Infinity	ft/s
Normal Depth	0.92	ft
Critical Depth	0.70	ft
Channel Slope	0.00500	ft/ft
Critical Slope	0.01287	ft/ft

Culvert Calculator Report

Culvert for Basin 1

Solve For: Headwater Elevation

Culvert Summary			
Allowable HW Elevation	0.00 ft	Headwater Depth/Height	0.83
Computed Headwater Elevation	3.57 ft	Discharge	131.40 cfs
Inlet Control HW Elev.	3.31 ft	Tailwater Elevation	0.00 ft
Outlet Control HW Elev.	3.57 ft	Control Type	Outlet Control
Grades			
Upstream Invert	0.25 ft	Downstream Invert	0.00 ft
Length	50.00 ft	Constructed Slope	0.005000 ft/ft
Hydraulic Profile			
Profile	M2	Depth, Downstream	1.98 ft
Slope Type	Mild	Normal Depth	2.70 ft
Flow Regime	Subcritical	Critical Depth	1.98 ft
Velocity Downstream	7.06 ft/s	Critical Slope	0.013125 ft/ft
Section			
Section Shape	Circular	Mannings Coefficient	0.024
Section Material	CMP	Span	4.00 ft
Section Size	48 inch	Rise	4.00 ft
Number Sections	3		
Outlet Control Properties			
Outlet Control HW Elev.	3.57 ft	Upstream Velocity Head	0.46 ft
Ke	0.90	Entrance Loss	0.41 ft
Inlet Control Properties			
Inlet Control HW Elev.	3.31 ft	Flow Control	Unsubmerged
Inlet Type	Projecting	Area Full	37.7 ft ²
K	0.03400	HDS 5 Chart	2
M	1.50000	HDS 5 Scale	3
C	0.05530	Equation Form	1
Y	0.54000		

Culvert Calculator Report

Culvert for Basin 2

Solve For: Headwater Elevation

Culvert Summary			
Allowable HW Elevation	0.00 ft	Headwater Depth/Height	1.83
Computed Headwater Elevation	4.92 ft	Discharge	32.05 cfs
Inlet Control HW Elev.	4.05 ft	Tailwater Elevation	0.00 ft
Outlet Control HW Elev.	4.92 ft	Control Type	Outlet Control
Grades			
Upstream Invert	0.35 ft	Downstream Invert	0.00 ft
Length	70.00 ft	Constructed Slope	0.005000 ft/ft
Hydraulic Profile			
Profile	CompositeM2PressureProfile	Depth, Downstream	1.93 ft
Slope Type	Mild	Normal Depth	N/A ft
Flow Regime	Subcritical	Critical Depth	1.93 ft
Velocity Downstream	7.89 ft/s	Critical Slope	0.023498 ft/ft
Section			
Section Shape	Circular	Mannings Coefficient	0.024
Section Material	CMP	Span	2.50 ft
Section Size	30 inch	Rise	2.50 ft
Number Sections	1		
Outlet Control Properties			
Outlet Control HW Elev.	4.92 ft	Upstream Velocity Head	0.66 ft
Ke	0.90	Entrance Loss	0.60 ft
Inlet Control Properties			
Inlet Control HW Elev.	4.05 ft	Flow Control	Submerged
Inlet Type	Projecting	Area Full	4.9 ft ²
K	0.03400	HDS 5 Chart	2
M	1.50000	HDS 5 Scale	3
C	0.05530	Equation Form	1
Y	0.54000		

Culvert Calculator Report

Culvert for Basin 3

Solve For: Headwater Elevation

Culvert Summary			
Allowable HW Elevation	0.00 ft	Headwater Depth/Height	1.80
Computed Headwater Elevation	4.84 ft	Discharge	35.62 cfs
Inlet Control HW Elev.	4.60 ft	Tailwater Elevation	0.00 ft
Outlet Control HW Elev.	4.84 ft	Control Type	Outlet Control
Grades			
Upstream Invert	0.35 ft	Downstream Invert	0.00 ft
Length	40.00 ft	Constructed Slope	0.008750 ft/ft
Hydraulic Profile			
Profile	CompositeM2PressureProfile	Depth, Downstream	2.03 ft
Slope Type	Mild	Normal Depth	N/A ft
Flow Regime	Subcritical	Critical Depth	2.03 ft
Velocity Downstream	8.36 ft/s	Critical Slope	0.026258 ft/ft
Section			
Section Shape	Circular	Mannings Coefficient	0.024
Section Material	CMP	Span	2.50 ft
Section Size	30 inch	Rise	2.50 ft
Number Sections	1		
Outlet Control Properties			
Outlet Control HW Elev.	4.84 ft	Upstream Velocity Head	0.82 ft
Ke	0.90	Entrance Loss	0.74 ft
Inlet Control Properties			
Inlet Control HW Elev.	4.60 ft	Flow Control	Submerged
Inlet Type	Projecting	Area Full	4.9 ft ²
K	0.03400	HDS 5 Chart	2
M	1.50000	HDS 5 Scale	3
C	0.05530	Equation Form	1
Y	0.54000		